

REGIONAL WEST MEDICAL CENTER
SCHOOL OF RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY

Passing Rate

Benchmark 75%

Five -year average credentialing examination(American Registry of Radiologic Technologists Radiography exam) pass rate of not less than 75 percent at the first attempt with in six of graduation

Year	Percent passing on first attempt	Number of students
2009	100%	6 of 6 students passed on first attempt
2010	100%	3 of 3 students passed on first attempt
2011	100%	4 of 4 students passed on first attempt
2012	100%	5 of 5 students passed on first attempt
2013	100%	3 of 3 students passed on first attempt; awaiting 1 to take exam
5 year average	100.00%	

Job Placement Rate

Benchmark 75%

Job placement rate is defined as the number of graduates employed in the radiologic sciences compared to the number of graduates actively seeking employment in the radiologic sciences.

A graduate identified as not actively seeking employment describes the individual who:

1. fails to communicate with program officials regarding employment status after multiple attempts.
 2. is unwilling to seek employment that require relocation
 3. is unwilling to accept employment due to salary or hours
 4. is on active military duty
 5. is continuing education
- Five-year average job placement rate of not less than 75 percent within twelve months of graduation

Year	Percent job placement	Number of students
2009	100%	4 of 6 graduates actively sought and found employment within 12 months
2010	100%	1 of 3 graduates actively sought and found employment within 12 months
2011		4 of 4 graduates entered an educational program
2012	100%	4 of 5 gradates actively sought and found employment within 12 months
2013	100%	2 of 4 graduates actively sought and found employment within 12 months
5 year average	100.00%	

Program Completion Rate

Benchmark 80%

Program completion rate is defined as the number of students who complete the program divided by the number of student initial and subsequently enrolled in the program. The program length is 24 months.

The year corresponds to the graduation year and student numbers and percentages corresponds to the cohort of the year starting.

Year	Program completion rate	Number of students
2009	100%	6 students began the program (2007) 6 students graduated
2010	75%	4 students began the program (2008) 3 students graduated; 1 dismissed from the program
2011	66.70%	6 students began the program (2009) 4 students graduated; 1 student withdrew from the program ; 1 student took medical leave and did not return
2012	83%	6 students began the program (2010) 5 graduates ; 1 withdrew from the program
2013	75%	3 students began the program (2011) 4 graduated; 1 transferred into the program. 1 student did not graduate on time due to medical reasons.
5 year average	79.94%	

Graduate Employers Survey

Benchmark 90%

Survey sent to graduate employers where graduates indicate contract information for employer.
Positive response of employers

Year	Employer Satisfaction	Number of students
2009	100%	4 surveys sent to employers; 4 returned
2010	100%	1 surveys sent to employers ; 1 returned
2011		no surveys sent; all students enter educational programs
2012	100%	5 surveys sent to employers; 5 returned
2013	100%	2 surveys sent to employers; 2 returned
5 year average	100.00%	

Graduate Evaluation

Benchmark 90%

Survey sent to last address provided to program.

Positive response of gradautes

Year	Alumni Satsifaction	Number of students
2009	100%	6 surveys sent out; 6 returned
2010	98%	3 surveys sent out; 3 returned
2011	96%	4 surveys sent out; 4 returned
2012	100%	5 surveys sent out; 5 returned
2013	100%	3 surveys sent out; 2 returned
5 year average	98.86%	

Goal #1 - The student upon graduation will demonstrate skills necessary to perform as an entry-level technologist

1. The student will comprehend and apply the principles of ALARA for patients and others

Benchmark 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	93%	11
2011	95%	8
2012	94%	9
2013		8
5 year average	93.92%	

Student yearly dosimetry report

Benchmark: 500 mR/year

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010		11
2011	112.00	8
2012	229.00	9
2013	54.00	8
5 year average	131.67	

2. The student will demonstrate knowledge of anatomy and pathology

Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	96%	11
2011	95%	8
2012	95%	9
2013		8
5 year average	95.09%	

3. The student will consistently demonstrate quality patient care skills

Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	98%	11
2011	98%	8
2012	99%	9
2013		8
5 year average	98.26%	

4. The student will consistently operate the radiographic equipment to optimized the quality of the image

Benchmark:

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	96%	11
2011	97%	8
2012	95%	9
2013		8
5 year average	96.06%	

Goal #2 - The student upon graduation will demonstrate critical thinking and problem solving skills

1. The student will demonstrate appropriate critical thinking and problem solving skills in the in the care of patients. Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	97%	11
2011	98%	8
2012	94%	9
2013		8
5 year average	96.14%	

2. The student will demonstrate appropriate critical thinking and prlbem solving skills in performing radiographic procedure Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	97%	11
2011	97%	8
2012	95%	9
2013		8
5 year average	96.10%	

Goal #3 - The student upon graduation will demonstrate effective communication skills

1. The student will use appropriate oral and written communication in discourse with patients, peers, and medical staff Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	96%	11
2011	97%	8
2012	95%	9
2013		8
5 year average	96.04%	

2. The student will accurately read and actively listen to understand and comprehend presented information Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	99%	11
2011	95%	8
2012	92%	9
2013		8
5 year average	95.10%	

Goal #4 – The student upon graduation will demonstrate attitude ad actions that promote the professional attributes of a radiographer

1. The student will investigate opportunities for professional growth

Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010	99%	11
2011	97%	8
2012	97%	9
2013		8
5 year average	97.61%	

2. The student will demonstrate appropriate professional and ethical attributes

Benchmark: 90%

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010		no data
2011	94%	7
2012	94%	9
2013		2
5 year average	93.79%	

3. The student will demonstrate professional and community involvement

Benchmark:

Year		Number of Students Evaluated
2009		
2010		3
2011	95%	4
2012	73%	5
2013		2
5 year average	84.00%	